UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/574,448	04/04/2006	Guofu Zhou	P4509US00	9649
	7590 07/22/201 ASSOCIATES, PLC		EXAMINER	
8500 LEESBUI			LAM, VINH TANG	
SUITE 7500 VIENNA, VA 22182			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2629	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			07/22/2011	ELECTRONIC

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

PATENT@PARK-LAW.COM

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/574,448	ZHOU ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	VINH LAM	2629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence add	ress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perior Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUN 1.136(a). In no event, however, may and will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO tute, cause the application to become	IICATION.  a reply be timely filed  DNTHS from the mailing date of this com ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 14 2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ Th 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	nis action is non-final. vance except for formal ma	•	merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☑ Claim(s) 1-24 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) 4-17 and 19-24 is/a 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☑ Claim(s) 1-3 and 18 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	are withdrawn from conside	eration.	
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) The drawing(s) filed on 04 April 2006 is/are:  Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the I	a) accepted or b) objue drawing(s) be held in abeysection is required if the drawing	ance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR	, ,
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
a) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. nts have been received in iority documents have bee eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National S	tage
Attachment(s)			
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)         <ul> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul> </li> </ol>	Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) o(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	

### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) a patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

1. Claims 1-3 and 18 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Loxley et al. (US Patent No. 6262833) in view of Sato (US Patent No. US 4041481).

Regarding Claim 1, (Currently amended) Loxley et al. teach a display device comprising:

at least one picture element (Col. 5, Ln. 44-57, FIG. 1, i.e. capsule 2) having an optical switch (Col. 4, Ln. 44-54, FIG. 1, i.e. means for changing optical states) having first and second electrodes (Col. 5, Ln. 59-68, Col. 6, Ln. 1-12, FIG. 1, i.e. front electrode 16 and rear electrode 18);

at least one first fluid (Col. 5, Ln. 44-57, FIG. 1, i.e. fluid 6) and a second fluid (Col. 5, Ln. 44-57, FIG. 1, i.e. fluid 10) immiscible with each other (Col. 2, Ln. 5-15) above a first support plate (Col. 5, Ln. 44-57, FIG. 1, i.e. capsule wall 4), the second fluid being electro-conductive or polar (Col. 2, Ln. 37-66, Col. 3, Ln. 1-17, i.e. ethanol as an alcohol, therefore, not only being polar according to the Instant Application

Art Unit: 2629

Specification [0022] on PGPub. but also obviously because of ethanol's <u>intrinsic</u> molecular formula CH<sub>2</sub>-**OH** where polarization defined at the Oxygen and Hydrogen elements similar to those of the water);

a driver (Col. 5, Ln. 59-68, Col. 6, Ln. 1-12, FIG. 1, i.e. means for applying electric field) for moving the first fluid (Col. 5, Ln. 59-68, Col. 6, Ln. 1-12, FIG. 1, i.e. particles 12 in fluid 6) or breaking it up into small droplets by applying voltages to the first and second electrodes of the optical switch (Col. 5, Ln. 59-68, Col. 6, Ln. 1-12, FIG. 1), the voltages are associated with a plurality of electro-optical states of the picture element (Col. 5, Ln. 59-68, Col. 6, Ln. 1-12, FIG. 1, i.e. obviously variation of voltages corresponding to variation of brightness between dark and white) in a range between and including a first extreme state and a second extreme state (Col. 5, Ln. 59-68, Col. 6, Ln. 1-25, FIG. 1, i.e. dark and white).

However, **Loxley et al.** do not teach that the driver provides variable voltages prior to applying a fixed voltage producing optical state and the variable voltages having a mean voltage equal to the fixed voltage.

In the same field of endeavor, **Sato** teaches wherein during selection (*FIGs.* **7G-7I**, *i.e.*  $T_E$ - $T_{Xn}$  periods because it is obvious that the cells must be selected for erasing and writing images) of the at least one picture element (*FIG.* **7G**, *i.e.* **C11**), the driver provides variable voltages (*Col.* **7**, *Ln.* **19-21**, *FIG.* **7G**, *i.e.* **erase pulses** during  $T_E$ ) to the picture element prior to applying a fixed voltage (*Col.* **7**, *Ln.* **40-58**, *FIG.* **7G**, *i.e.* **0V** during  $T_D$ ) associated with an electro-optical state (*Col.* **7**, *Ln.* **40-58**, *FIG.* **7G**, *i.e.* **0V** during  $T_D$  would obviously produce an electro-optical state) of the picture element that

corresponds to a desired image grayscale to be set (FIG. **7G**, i.e. **0V** during  $T_p$  would obviously produce a desired image grayscale of **C11**), the provided variable voltages having a mean voltage (Col. **7**, Ln. **40-58**, FIG. **7G**, i.e. **0V** during  $T_E$ ) substantially equal to the fixed voltage (Col. **7**, Ln. **40-58**, FIG. **7G**, i.e. **0V** during  $T_p$ ).

Page 4

It would have been obvious to a person having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to combine **Loxley et al.** teaching of a display device having picture element, driving means, and range of electro-optical states with **Sato** teaching of driving means providing variable voltages prior to applying a fixed voltage to the display device to enhance the image quality by eliminating the cross effect of the display.

Regarding Claim 2, (Currently amended) the display device according to claim 1, wherein Loxley et al. teach the first support plate is transparent (Col. 5, Ln. 44-57, FIG. 1, i.e. obviously so that viewers can differentiate the colors of the fluid 10 and/or particles 12), the display device comprising a second support plate (Col. 5, Ln. 44-57, FIG. 1, i.e. capsule wall 4) and the first and second fluids being within a space between the first support plate and the second support plate (Col. 5, Ln. 9, FIG. 1, i.e. "The capsules may be of any size or shape.", e.g. cubical shape with 6 support plates).

Regarding Claim 3, (Currently amended) the display device according to claim 1, wherein **Sato** teaches the variable voltages comprise a plurality of alternating voltages (Col. 7, Ln. 19-21, FIGs. 7G-7I, i.e. erase pulses during  $T_E$ ).

Regarding Claim 18, (Previously presented) the display device according to claim 1, wherein Loxley et al. teach the variable voltage includes one of the first and second extreme states (Col. 5, Ln. 44-68, Col. 6, Ln. 1-12, FIGs. 1 & 2).

Application/Control Number: 10/574,448 Page 5

Art Unit: 2629

# Response to Arguments/Amendments/Remarks

- 2. Claims 7 and 15-17 are canceled.
- 3. Claims **4-6** and **8-14** are withdrawn.
- 4. Applicant's arguments filed 06/14/2011 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

On Pages 7-8, applicant argues that **Loxley et al.** do not teach "...moving the first fluid or breaking it up into small droplets...". However, the Examiner respectfully disagrees because:

- (i) First of all concerning the limitation "...moving the first fluid...", Loxley et al.

  "second" fluid 6 is corresponding to applicant's "first" fluid. Loxley et al. fluid 6

  comprises of at least a plurality of particles 12 which is migrated under an electric field.

  Applicant seems to argue either particles 12 is not a fluid or not part of fluid 6. This argument is not persuasive because similarly, applicant's "first" fluid would be undoubtedly and chemically comprised of particles and/or molecules which also are moved under application of a voltage (please see the above analysis for detail).
- (ii) Finally concerning the limitation "...or breaking it up into small droplets...", since it is an alternative, optional, or equivalent function to "...moving the first fluid..." there is no citation for this alternative limitation.

### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to VINH T. LAM whose telephone number is (571)270-3704. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (7:00-4:30) EST.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Amare Mengistu can be reached on (571) 272-7674. The fax phone

Application/Control Number: 10/574,448 Page 7

Art Unit: 2629

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-

273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Vinh T Lam/

Examiner, Art Unit 2629

/Amare Mengistu/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2629